WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	ν.	•	INDL	IN OF DETERMION FENDING TRIAL	
Donato Tamayo-Cevreros		Case Numb	er:	08-3465M	
present and w				g was held on October 16, 2008. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a proj	FI ponderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT			
Tillia by a pre	•	tad States or lowfull	, odr	mitted for normanant racidance	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom				
	Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contact	fendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ar in court as ordere	d.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact	by fl	eeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _		у	ears imprisonment.	
at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as noted CON	in the record. ICLUSIONS OF LA		ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	nt will flee. Is will reasonably as S REGARDING DE	sure TEN	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable, for defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the Cithe United States Marshal for the purpose	e Attorney General of om persons awaiting portunity for private dovernment, the pers	r his/ or se cons son ir conr	her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour or charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de	tention order be filed	d with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be co e District Court to a	onsid Ilow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 17 th day of October, 200	8.			
		Sun-			
	Unite	David K. Dunca ed States Magistra		ıdge	